

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,166	09/05/2000	James Alan Hedrington	1850.292USD1	8174
75	590 10/29/2002			
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			BECKER, DREW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S U				
A second	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/655,166	HEDRINGTON ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply contact e later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension principally set in the final Office extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 September 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Appellant's Brief must be filed	within the period set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 22 and 23.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u></u> .				
10. Other:						

Jou Beel 10-73-02

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues are the lower housing extending under only a portion of the food support member, and applying heat to only a portion of the pizza.

KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER